

# Asymptotically maximal real algebraic hypersurfaces of projective space

*Ilya Itenberg and Oleg Viro*

ABSTRACT. Using the combinatorial patchworking, we construct an asymptotically maximal (in the sense of the generalized Harnack inequality) family of real algebraic hypersurfaces in an  $n$ -dimensional real projective space. This construction leads to a combinatorial asymptotic description of the Hodge numbers of algebraic hypersurfaces in the complex projective spaces and to asymptotically sharp upper bounds for the individual Betti numbers of primitive T-hypersurfaces in terms of Hodge numbers of the complexifications of these hypersurfaces.

## 1. Introduction

In 1876 A. Harnack published a paper [Har76] where he found an exact upper bound for the number of connected components for a curve of a given degree. Harnack proved that the number of components of a real plane projective curve of degree  $m$  is at most  $\frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2} + 1$ . On the other hand, for each natural number  $m$  he constructed a non-singular real projective curve of degree  $m$  with  $\frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2} + 1$  components, which shows that his estimate cannot be improved without introducing new ingredients.

It is natural to ask whether there exists a similar inequality for surfaces in the three-dimensional projective space. This question is known as the Harnack problem. Understood literally, *i.e.* as a question about the number of components, it has appeared to be a difficult problem. The maximal number of components is found only for degree  $\leq 4$ . However Harnack Inequality has been generalized in another way.

**Theorem 1.1** (Generalized Harnack Inequality). *If  $X$  is a real algebraic variety, then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_*(\mathbb{R}X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_*(\mathbb{C}X; \mathbb{Z}_2), \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbb{R}X$  and  $\mathbb{C}X$  are the sets of real and complex points of  $X$ , respectively.

Since  $\mathbb{R}X$  is the fixed point set of involution  $conj : \mathbb{C}X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}X$ , Theorem 1.1, in turn, is a special case of the following theorem.

---

*Key words and phrases.*  $M$ -hypersurfaces, Hodge numbers, combinatorial patchworking, tropical hypersurfaces.

The first author was partially funded by the ANR-05-0053-01 grant of Agence Nationale de la Recherche and a grant of Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg.

**Theorem 1.2** (Smith - Floyd Inequality). *Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $\tau : X \rightarrow X$  an involution and  $F$  the fixed point set of  $\tau$ . Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_*(F; \mathbb{Z}_2) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

(See, e. g., Bredon [Bre72]. To avoid a discussion of choice of homology theory, one can suppose that  $X$  and  $\tau$  are simplicial.)

Although Theorem 1.2 was first stated by E. E. Floyd [Flo52], all arguments needed for the proof appeared in earlier works by P. A. Smith, see [Smi38]. Theorem 1.1 was formulated first by R. Thom [Tho65]. He got the inequality (1) as a corollary of Theorem 1.2. He did not observe however that the inequality (1) gives the best estimates of  $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_*(\mathbb{R}X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . It was V. M. Kharlamov [Kha72] and V. A. Rokhlin [Rok72] who acknowledged the strength and importance of Generalized Harnack Inequality. They turned the Smith theory into a powerful tool for studying the topology of real algebraic varieties ([Kha72], [Kha73], [Kha75], [Rok72]).

If  $X$  is a nonsingular curve of degree  $m$ , then  $\mathbb{C}X$  is homeomorphic to a sphere with  $(m-1)(m-2)/2 = (m^2 - 3m + 2)/2$  handles, and

the right hand side of the inequality (1) is  $m^2 - 3m + 4$ . In this case the left hand side is the doubled number of components of  $\mathbb{R}X$ . Hence Theorem 1.1 generalizes Harnack Inequality.

A real algebraic variety for which the left and right hand sides of the inequality (1) are equal is called an  $M$ -variety or a *maximal variety*.

In [IV] we proved the following statement.

**Theorem 1.3.** *For any positive integers  $m$  and  $n$ , there exists a nonsingular hypersurface  $X$  of degree  $m$  in  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  such that*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_p(\mathbb{R}X; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \sum_{q=0}^{n-1} h^{p,q}(\mathbb{C}X),$$

where  $h^{p,q}$  are Hodge numbers.

In particular, for any positive integers  $m$  and  $n$ , there exists an  $M$ -hypersurface of degree  $m$  in  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ . Notice that, for a nonsingular hypersurface  $X$  of degree  $m$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , one has  $\sum_{q=0}^{n-1} h^{p,q}(\mathbb{C}X) = h^{p,n-1-p}(\mathbb{C}X)$  if  $2p = n-1$ , and  $\sum_{q=0}^{n-1} h^{p,q}(\mathbb{C}X) = h^{p,n-1-p}(\mathbb{C}X) + 1$  otherwise.

The construction presented in [IV] can be seen as a combinatorial version of the construction mentioned in [Vir79a]. The  $M$ -hypersurfaces in [IV] are constructed using the *primitive patchworking*. It is a particular case of the *combinatorial patchworking*, which in turn is a particular case of the Viro method of construction of real algebraic varieties, see [Vir83], [Vir84], [Vir94], [Ris92], [Stu94], [IV96], and Section 2 below. The combinatorial patchworking provides piecewise-linear models of hypersurfaces. In the case of the primitive patchworking, these models are *nonsingular real tropical hypersurfaces* (cf. [Mi05]). A nonsingular algebraic hypersurface  $X$  in  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  constructed by means of the primitive patchworking is called a *primitive  $T$ -hypersurface*.

Let  $n$  be a positive integer,  $P$  a real polynomial of degree  $n$  in one variable,  $b$  a vector  $(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  a class of algebraic hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ . We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the condition  $b \stackrel{n}{\leq} P$  (respectively,  $b \stackrel{n}{\geq} P$ ) if there exists a real univariate polynomial  $Q$  of degree  $n-1$  such that, for any hypersurface  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , one has the inequality  $\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} b_i \dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_p(\mathbb{R}X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \leq P(m) + Q(m)$  (respectively,  $\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} b_i \dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_p(\mathbb{R}X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \geq P(m) + Q(m)$ ), where  $m$  is the degree of  $X$ . We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the condition  $b \stackrel{n}{=} P$  if  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the both conditions  $b \stackrel{n}{\leq} P$  and  $b \stackrel{n}{\geq} P$ .

Let  $B \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  be the vector with all the coordinates equal to 1. Since for any nonsingular hypersurface  $X$  of degree  $m$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  one has

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_*(\mathbb{C}X; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \frac{(m-1)^{n+1} - (-1)^{n+1}}{m} + n + (-1)^{n+1}$$

(see, for example, [Far57]), the Generalized Harnack Inequality implies that the class of all nonsingular algebraic hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  verifies the condition  $B \stackrel{n}{\leq} x^n$ . We say that a sequence  $(X_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $X_m$  is a nonsingular hypersurface of degree  $m$  in  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ , is *asymptotically maximal*, if this sequence verifies the condition  $B \stackrel{n}{=} x^n$ , i.e., if  $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_*(\mathbb{R}X_m; \mathbb{Z}_2) = m^n + O(m^{n-1})$ .

For any integer  $p = 0, \dots, n-1$ , put

$$H_p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i \binom{n+1}{i} \binom{x(p+1) - (x-1)i - 1}{n}.$$

If  $X$  is a nonsingular hypersurface of degree  $m$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , then  $H_p(m) = h^{p, n-1-p}(\mathbb{C}X) - 1$  in the case  $n-1 = 2p$ , and  $H_p(m) = h^{p, n-1-p}(\mathbb{C}X)$  otherwise (see [DKh86]).

For any integer  $p = 0, \dots, n-1$ , denote by  $B_p$  the vector in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  such that all the coordinates of  $B_p$  are equal to 0 except the  $p$ -th coordinate which is equal to 1.

The main result of the present paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.4.** *For any positive integer  $n$  and any integer  $p = 0, \dots, n-1$ , the class of primitive  $T$ -hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  satisfies the condition  $B_p \stackrel{n}{\leq} H_p$ .*

Theorem 1.4 immediately implies the following statement.

**Corollary 1.5.** *For any positive integer  $n$ , any integer  $p = 0, \dots, n-1$ , and any asymptotically maximal sequence  $(X_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $X_m$  is a primitive  $T$ -hypersurface of degree  $m$  in  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ , the sequence  $(X_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the condition  $B_p \stackrel{n}{=} H_p$ , i.e.,*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_p(\mathbb{R}X_m; \mathbb{Z}_2) = h^{p, n-1-p}(\mathbb{C}X_m) + O(m^{n-1}).$$

**Remark 1.1.** As it was shown by B. Bertrand [Ber06], for any primitive  $T$ -hypersurface  $X$  in  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  (the projective space can be replaced by any nonsingular projective toric variety) the Euler characteristic of  $\mathbb{R}X$  is equal to the signature of  $\mathbb{C}X$ .

**Remark 1.2.** The statement of Theorem 1.4 (and the statement of Corollary 1.5) becomes false if one replaces the class of primitive  $T$ -hypersurfaces by the class of all nonsingular algebraic hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ . For example, there exists an asymptotically maximal sequence  $(Y_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  of nonsingular surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}P^3$  such that  $Y_m$  is of degree  $m$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_0(\mathbb{R}Y_m; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \frac{7}{24}m^3 + O(m^2)$ ; see [Vir79b] (note that  $h^{0,2}(\mathbb{C}Y_m) = \frac{1}{6}m^3 + O(m^2)$ ). More detailed information concerning the asymptotic behavior of Betti numbers of algebraic hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  can be found in [Bih03].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the combinatorial patchworking. The key upper bounds used in the proof of Theorem 1.4 are based on the results of [Sh96, ISh03] and are presented in Sections 3 and 4. These upper bounds together with a combinatorial description of Hodge numbers of algebraic hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  (Corollary 5.2) give a proof of Theorem 1.4. The combinatorial description of Hodge numbers is proved in Sections 5 - 8. Section 5 contains a construction of an asymptotically maximal sequence of hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ . This construction is a simplified version of the construction described in [IV] (the latter construction produces maximal hypersurfaces). To prove that the constructed sequence of hypersurfaces satisfies the condition  $B_p \stackrel{n}{=} H_p$ , we present a collection of cycles of these hypersurfaces (Section 6), and prove a recurrent relation for the Hodge numbers (Section 7).

## 2. Combinatorial Patchworking of Hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}P^n$

Let  $m$  be a positive integer number (it would be the degree of the hypersurface under construction) and  $T^n(m)$  be the simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with vertices  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $(0, 0, \dots, 0, m)$ ,  $(0, \dots, 0, m, 0)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $(m, 0, \dots, 0)$ . We shorten the notation of  $T^n(m)$  to  $T$ , when  $n$  and  $m$  are unambiguous and call  $T^n(m)$  the *standard  $n$ -simplex of size  $m$* . Take a triangulation  $\tau$  of  $T$  with vertices having integer coordinates. Suppose that a distribution of signs at the vertices of  $\tau$  is given. The sign (plus or minus) at the vertex with coordinates  $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  is denoted by  $\alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_n}$ .

Denote by  $T_*$  the union of all the symmetric copies of  $T$  under reflections and compositions of reflections with respect to coordinate hyperplanes. Extend the triangulation  $\tau$  to a symmetric triangulation  $\tau_*$  of  $T_*$ , and the distribution of signs  $\alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_n}$  to a distribution at the vertices of the extended triangulation by the following rule: passing from a vertex to its mirror image with respect to a coordinate hyperplane we preserve its sign if the distance from the vertex to the plane is even, and change the sign if the distance is odd.

If an  $n$ -simplex of the triangulation of  $T_*$  has vertices of different signs, select a piece of hyperplane being the convex hull of the middle points of the edges having endpoints of opposite signs. Denote by  $\Gamma$  the union of the selected pieces. It is a piecewise-linear hypersurface contained in  $T_*$ . It is not a simplicial subcomplex of  $T_*$ , but can be deformed by an isotopy preserving  $\tau_*$  to a subcomplex  $K$  of the first barycenter subdivision  $\tau'_*$  of  $\tau_*$ . Each  $n$ -simplex of  $\tau'_*$  has a unique vertex belonging to  $\tau_*$ . Denote by  $\tau_*^+$  the union of all the  $n$ -simplices of  $\tau'_*$  containing positive vertices of  $\tau_*$  and by  $\tau_*^-$  the union of all the rest  $n$ -simplices. The subcomplex  $K$  is the intersection of  $\tau_*^+$  and  $\tau_*^-$ . A point of  $\Gamma$

contained in a simplex  $\sigma$  of  $\tau_*$  belongs to a unique segment connecting the face of  $\sigma$  with positive vertices and the face with negative ones. This segment meets  $K$  also in a unique point and the deformation of  $\Gamma$  to  $K$  can be done along those segments.

Identify by the symmetry with respect to the origin the faces of  $T_*$ . The quotient space  $\tilde{T}$  is homeomorphic to the real projective space  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ . Denote by  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  the image of  $\Gamma$  in  $\tilde{T}$ .

A triangulation  $\tau$  of  $T$  is said to be *convex* if there exists a convex piecewise-linear function  $\nu : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  whose domains of linearity coincide with the  $n$ -simplices of  $\tau$ . Sometimes, such triangulations are also called coherent (see [GKZ94]) or regular (see [Zie94]).

**Theorem 2.1** (see [Vir83], [Vir94]). *If  $\tau$  is convex, there exists a nonsingular hypersurface  $X$  of degree  $m$  in  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  and a homeomorphism  $\mathbb{R}P^n \rightarrow \tilde{T}$  mapping the set of real points  $\mathbb{R}X$  of  $X$  onto  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ .*

A hypersurface defined by a polynomial

$$\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in V} \alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_n} x_0^{m-i_1-\dots-i_n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} t^{\nu(i_1, \dots, i_n)},$$

where  $V$  is the set of vertices of  $\tau$ , and  $t$  is positive and sufficiently small, satisfies the properties described in Theorem 2.1. The polynomial above and its affine version

$$P_t^{\nu, \alpha}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in V} \alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} t^{\nu(i_1, \dots, i_n)},$$

are called *T-polynomials* associated with the function  $\nu$  and the distribution of signs  $\alpha : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha(i_1, \dots, i_n) = \alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_n}$ . The hypersurface  $X$  defined by a *T-polynomial* is called a *T-hypersurface*. If the triangulation  $\tau$  is *primitive* (that is, each  $n$ -simplex of  $\tau$  is of volume  $\frac{1}{n!}$ ), then  $X$  is called a *primitive T-hypersurface*.

### 3. Critical Points of T-polynomials

To any orthant  $O$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we associate the map  $\mathcal{S}_O = s_{(i_1)} \circ s_{(i_2)} \circ \dots \circ s_{(i_k)}$ , where  $i_1, \dots, i_k$  are the indices of all negative coordinates of a point in the interior of  $O$ , and  $s_{(i_j)}, j = 1, \dots, k$ , is the reflection with respect to the  $i_j$ -th coordinate hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $q$  be a point in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . An  $n$ -dimensional lattice simplex  $\delta$  in an orthant  $O$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called *q-generic* if the point  $\mathcal{S}_O(q)$  belongs neither to  $\delta$ , nor to any hyperplane containing an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional face of  $\delta$ . Let  $\delta \subset O$  be a *q-generic* simplex. An  $(n-1)$ -dimensional face of  $\delta$  is called *q-visible* (resp., *non-q-visible*) if the cone over this face with the vertex at  $\mathcal{S}_O(q)$  does not intersect (resp., does intersect) the interior of  $\delta$ . The *q-index*  $i^q(\delta)$  of  $\delta$  is the number of *q-visible*  $(n-1)$ -dimensional faces of  $\delta$ . The *co-q-index* of  $\delta$  is the number  $n - i^q(\delta)$ . Denote by  $V_+^q(\delta)$  (resp.,  $V_-^q(\delta)$ ) the set of vertices of  $\delta$  which belong to all *q-visible* (resp., *non-q-visible*)  $(n-1)$ -dimensional faces of  $\delta$ . A *q-generic* simplex  $\delta$  whose vertices are equipped with signs is called *real q-critical* if all the vertices in  $V_+^q(\delta)$  have the same sign and the vertices in  $V_-^q(\delta)$  have the sign opposite to that of the vertices in  $V_+^q(\delta)$ .

A triangulation  $\tau$  of  $T^n(m)$  is called  $q$ -generic, if all its  $n$ -simplices are  $q$ -generic. A simplex of  $\tau$  is called  $q$ -terminal if it is contained in an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional non- $q$ -visible face of  $T^n(m)$ . Associate to any non- $q$ -terminal simplex  $\sigma$  of  $\tau$  an  $n$ -simplex of  $\tau$  in the following way. Let  $v$  be a point in the relative interior of  $\sigma$ . Take a point  $\hat{v}$  such that

- $\hat{v}$  belongs to the ray which starts at  $q$  and passes through  $v$ ,
- the distance between  $q$  and  $\hat{v}$  is greater than the distance between  $q$  and  $v$ ,
- the segment joining  $v$  and  $\hat{v}$  is contained in an  $n$ -simplex of  $\tau$ .

The latter  $n$ -simplex does not depend on the choice of  $v$  in the relative interior of  $\sigma$  and is called the  $q$ -upper simplex of  $\sigma$ . A  $T$ -polynomial of degree  $m$  is called  $q$ -generic, if the corresponding triangulation of  $T^n(m)$  is  $q$ -generic.

**Theorem 3.1** (see [Sh96, ISh03]). *Let  $q = (-q_1, \dots, -q_n)$  be a point with negative integer coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $P_t^{\nu, \alpha}$  a (non-homogeneous)  $q$ -generic  $T$ -polynomial of degree  $m$  in  $n$  variables. Then, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the real critical points in  $(\mathbb{R}^*)^n$  of the polynomial*

$$x_1^{q_1} \dots x_n^{q_n} P_t^{\nu, \alpha}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

*and the real  $q$ -critical  $n$ -simplices of  $\tau_*$  (where  $\tau$  is the triangulation defined by  $\nu$ ) such that the index of a real critical point of  $P_t^{\nu, \alpha}$  with positive (resp., negative) critical value is equal to the  $q$ -index (resp., co- $q$ -index) of the corresponding simplex. If  $\tau$  is primitive, each  $n$ -simplex of  $\tau$  has exactly one real critical symmetric copy in  $\tau_*$ .*

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $q$  be a point in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  convex primitive  $q$ -generic triangulations of a standard simplex  $T^n(m)$ . Then, for any integer  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the numbers of simplices of  $q$ -index  $i$  in  $\tau_1$  and in  $\tau_2$  coincide.*

*Proof.* As is known (see, for example, [Dai00, Ber06]), for any integer  $j = 0, \dots, n$ , the numbers of  $j$ -dimensional simplices in  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  coincide. Thus, the numbers  $S_1^j$  and  $S_2^j$  of  $j$ -dimensional non- $q$ -terminal simplices in  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ , respectively, also coincide. For any  $j$ -dimensional non- $q$ -terminal simplex  $\sigma$  in  $\tau_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , the  $q$ -index of the  $q$ -upper simplex of  $\sigma$  is at least  $n - j$ . Denote by  $C_{i,1}$  and  $C_{i,2}$  the numbers of  $n$ -simplices of  $q$ -index  $i$  in  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ , respectively. Since  $C_{n,k} = S_k^0$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , we obtain  $C_{n,1} = C_{n,2}$ . Furthermore, for any integer  $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , we have  $C_{n-j,k} = S_k^j - \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} \binom{n-s}{j-s} C_{n-s,k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ . Thus,  $C_{i,1} = C_{i,2}$  for any integer number  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Upper Bounds for Betti Numbers of Primitive $T$ -hypersurfaces

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the product of a real polynomial of degree  $m$  in  $n$  variables and any monomial in  $n$  variables. Let  $X_{\mathcal{P}} \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$  be the projective closure of  $\{\mathcal{P} = 0\} \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{P}$  has only nondegenerate critical points in  $(\mathbb{R}^*)^n$  and that the hypersurface  $X_{\mathcal{P}}$  is nonsingular. Denote by  $c_p^+$  (respectively,  $c_p^-$ ) the number of real critical points of  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $(\mathbb{R}^*)^n$  of index  $p$  and with positive (respectively, negative) critical value.

The following statement is well known and can be found, for example, in [ISh03].

**Proposition 4.1.** *There exists a real univariate polynomial  $R$  of degree  $n - 1$  such that, for any polynomial  $\mathcal{P}$  as above and any integer number  $p = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ , the following inequality holds:*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_p(\mathbb{R}X_{\mathcal{P}}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \leq c_p^- + c_{n-p}^+ + R(m).$$

Let

- $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a point with negative integer coordinates,
- $\tau$  a convex primitive  $q$ -generic triangulation of  $T^n(m)$ ,
- $\nu : T^n(m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a convex piecewise-linear function certifying the convexity of  $\tau$ ,
- $\alpha$  a distribution of signs at the integer points of  $T^n(m)$ ,
- $P_t^{\nu, \alpha}$  a (non-homogeneous)  $T$ -polynomial associated with  $\nu$  and  $\alpha$ ,
- $X$  a hypersurface of degree  $m$  in  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  defined by (the homogenization of)  $P_t^{\nu, \alpha}$ .

Denote by  $C_i(m)$  the number of  $n$ -simplices of  $\tau$  of  $q$ -index  $i$ . Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 4.1 imply the following statement.

**Theorem 4.2** (cf. [Sh96, ISh03]). *For any integer  $p = 0, \dots, n - 1$ , the following inequality holds:*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_p(\mathbb{R}X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \leq C_{n-p}(m) + R(m),$$

where  $R$  is a polynomial described in Proposition 4.1.

According to Proposition 3.2, the numbers  $C_n(m), \dots, C_1(m)$  do not depend on the choice of a convex primitive  $q$ -generic triangulation  $\tau$  of  $T^n(m)$ . To prove Theorem 1.4, it remains to compare the numbers  $C_n(m), \dots, C_1(m)$  with the numbers  $H_0(m), \dots, H_{n-1}(m)$ .

If  $\{X_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an asymptotically maximal sequence of primitive  $T$ -hypersurfaces, then due to Theorem 4.2 and the equality  $\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} C_{n-p}(m) = m^n$ , we obtain

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_p(\mathbb{R}X_m; \mathbb{Z}_2) = C_{n-p}(m) + O(m^{n-1}),$$

for any integer  $p = 0, \dots, n - 1$ . In the remaining part of the paper, we construct an asymptotically maximal sequence of primitive  $T$ -hypersurfaces and show the equality

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_p(\mathbb{R}X_m; \mathbb{Z}_2) = H_p(m) + O(m^{n-1})$$

for the hypersurfaces  $X_m$  of the sequence.

## 5. Triangulation and Signs Generating Asymptotically Maximal Sequence of Hypersurfaces

In this section we describe for each positive integer  $n$  and for each positive integer  $m$  a triangulation  $\tau^n(m)$  of the standard simplex  $T^n(m)$  and a distribution of signs at the vertices of  $\tau^n(m)$  which provide via Theorem 2.1 an asymptotically maximal sequence of hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ .

To construct the triangulation  $\tau^n(m)$ , we use induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$ , the triangulation  $\tau^1(m)$  of  $[0, m]$  is formed by  $m$  intervals  $[0, 1], \dots, [m - 1, m]$  for any  $m$ .

Assume that the triangulations of the standard simplices of dimensions less than  $n$  and all the sizes are constructed and consider the  $n$ -dimensional standard simplex  $T^n(m)$  of size  $m$ .

Denote by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  the coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $T_j^{n-1} = T^n(m) \cap \{x_n = m - j\}$ , and  $T_j$  be the image of  $T_j^{n-1}$  under the orthogonal projection to the coordinate hyperplane  $\{x_n = 0\}$ . Numerate the vertices of each simplex  $T_1, \dots, T_m$  as follows: assign 1 to the vertex at the origin and  $i + 1$  to the vertex with nonzero coordinate at the  $i$ -th place. Assign to the vertices of  $T_1^{n-1}, \dots, T_{m-1}^{n-1}$  the numbers of their projections. A triangulation of each simplex  $T_1, \dots, T_m$  is already constructed. Take the corresponding triangulations in the simplices  $T_j^{n-1}$ , if  $m - j$  is even. If  $m - j$  is odd, take the linear map  $T_j^{n-1} \rightarrow T_j$  sending the  $i$ -th vertex of  $T_j^{n-1}$  to the vertex number  $n + 1 - i$  of  $T_j$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). The preimages of simplices of the triangulation of  $T_j$  form a triangulation of  $T_j^{n-1}$ .

Let  $l$  be a nonnegative integer not greater than  $n - 1$ . If  $m - j$  is even, denote by  $T_j^l$  the  $l$ -face of  $T_j^{n-1}$  which is the convex hull of the vertices with numbers  $1, \dots, l + 1$ . If  $m - j$  is odd, denote by  $T_j^l$  the  $l$ -face of  $T_j^{n-1}$  which is the convex hull of the vertices with numbers  $n - l, \dots, n$ .

Now for any integer  $0 \leq j \leq m - 1$  and any integer  $0 \leq l \leq n - 1$ , take the join  $T_{j+1}^l * T_j^{n-1-l}$ . The triangulations of  $T_{j+1}^l$  and  $T_j^{n-1-l}$  constructed by the inductive assumption define a triangulation of  $T_{j+1}^l * T_j^{n-1-l}$ . This gives rise to the desired triangulation  $\tau^n(m)$  of  $T^n(m)$ . It is easy to see that  $\tau^n(m)$  is convex: a convex piecewise-linear function certifying the convexity of  $\tau^n(m)$  can be obtained combining the following functions:

- a convex piecewise-linear function whose domains of linearity are the convex hulls of  $T_j^{n-1}$  and  $T_{j+1}^{n-1}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, m - 1$ ;
- affine-linear functions  $L_j(\varepsilon) : T_j^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (here  $j$  runs over all the integers  $1 \leq j \leq m$  such that  $m - j$  is even, and  $\varepsilon$  is a sufficiently small positive number); any function  $L_j(\varepsilon)$  sends a vertex with number  $i$  of  $T_j^{n-1}$  to  $\varepsilon i$ ;
- convex piecewise-linear functions (multiplied by appropriate constants) certifying the convexity of the triangulations of  $T_1^{n-1}, \dots, T_m^{n-1}$ .

The distribution of signs at the vertices of  $\tau^n(m)$  is as follows: all the vertices get the sign “+”.

Let  $(X_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the sequence of hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  provided according to Theorem 2.1 by the triangulations  $\tau^n(m)$  and the distribution of signs described above.

**Theorem 5.1.** *For any positive integer  $n$  and any integer  $p = 0, \dots, n - 1$ , the sequence  $(X_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies  $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_p(\mathbb{R}X_m; \mathbb{Z}_2) = H_p(m) + O(m^{n-1})$ .*

**Corollary 5.2.** *For any positive integer  $n$  and any integer  $p = 0, \dots, n - 1$ , one has  $H_p(m) = C_{n-p}(m) + O(m^{n-1})$ .*

*Proof.* The statement immediately follows from Theorems 5.1 and 4.2 and the equality  $\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} C_{n-p}(m) = m^n$ . □



Theorem 5.1 is proved in Section 8. We precede the proof by a description of a certain collection of cycles of  $\mathbb{R}X_m$  (Section 6) and a recurrent relation for the Hodge numbers of algebraic hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  (Section 7).

## 6. Narrow Cycles

For any positive integers  $n$  and  $m$ , and any integer  $p = 0, \dots, n-1$ , we define a collection  $c_i, i \in I^{n,p}(m)$  of  $p$ -cycles of  $\tilde{\Gamma}^n(m) \subset \tilde{T}$ , where  $T = T^n(m)$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}^n(m)$  is the piecewise-linear hypersurface provided by the triangulation  $\tau^n(m)$  and the distribution of signs described in Section 5 (in fact, any  $c_i$  is also a  $p$ -cycle of the hypersurface  $\Gamma^n(m) \subset T_*$ ). The cycles  $c_i$  are called *narrow*.

The collection of narrow cycles  $c_i$  is constructed together with a collection of *axes*  $b_i$ . Any axis  $b_i$  is a  $(n-1-p)$ -cycle in  $\tilde{T} \setminus \tilde{\Gamma}^n(m)$  (where  $p$  is the dimension of  $c_i$ ) composed by simplices of the triangulation  $\tau_*^n(m)$  of  $T_*$  and representing a homological class such that its linking number with any  $p$ -dimensional narrow cycle  $c_k$  is  $\delta_{ik}$ .

Let us fix some notations. For any simplex  $T_j^l$  (where  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and  $0 \leq l \leq n-1$ ), denote by  $(T_j^l)_*$  the union of the symmetric copies of  $T_j^l$  under the reflections with respect to coordinate hyperplanes  $\{x_i = 0\}$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , if  $m-j$  is even, and  $i = n-l, \dots, n-1$ , if  $m-j$  is odd, and compositions of these reflections.

Any simplex  $T_j^l$  is naturally identified with the standard simplex  $T^l(j)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^l$  with vertices  $(0, \dots, 0), (j, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, j)$  via the linear map  $\mathcal{L}_j^l : T_j^l \rightarrow T^l(j)$  sending

- (1) the vertex with number  $i$  of  $T_j^l$  to the vertex of  $T^l(j)$  with the same number, if  $m-j$  is even,
- (2) the vertex with number  $i$  of  $T_j^l$  to the vertex of  $T^l(j)$  with the number  $i-n+l+1$ , if  $m-j$  is odd.

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{L}_j^l$  is simplicial with respect to the chosen triangulations of  $T_j^l$  and  $T^l(j)$ . The natural extension of  $\mathcal{L}_j^l$  to  $(T_j^l)_*$  identifies  $(T_j^l)_*$  with  $(T^l(m))_*$  and respects the chosen triangulations.

By a *symmetry* we mean a composition of reflections with respect to coordinate hyperplanes. Let  $s_{(i)}$  be the reflection of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with respect to the hyperplane  $\{x_i = 0\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Denote by  $s_j^l$  the symmetry of  $(T_j^{l+1})_*$  which is identical if  $m-j$  is even, and coincides with the restriction of  $s_{(n-l-1)} \circ \dots \circ s_{(n-1)}$  on  $(T_j^{l+1})_*$  if  $m-j$  is odd.

The narrow cycles and their dual cycles are defined below using induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  and  $m \geq 3$ , the narrow cycles are the pairs of points

$$(-1/2, -3/2), \dots, (-(2m-5)/2, -(2m-3)/2),$$

(The set of narrow cycles is empty if  $n = 1$  and  $m = 1, 2$ .) The axes are pairs of vertices

$$(-1, -m+1), (-2, -m), (-3, -m+1), \dots, (-m+2, -m),$$

if  $m$  is even, and pairs of vertices

$$(-1, -m), (-2, -m+1), (-3, -m), \dots, (-m+2, -m),$$

if  $m$  is odd.

Assume that for all natural  $m$  and all natural  $k < n$  the narrow cycles  $c_i$  in the hypersurface  $\tilde{\Gamma}^k(m) \subset \tilde{T}^k(m)$  and the axes  $b_i$  in  $\tilde{T}^k(m) \setminus \tilde{\Gamma}^k(m)$  are constructed. The narrow cycles of the hypersurface in  $\tilde{T}_m^n$  are divided into 3 families.

**Horizontal Cycles.** The initial data for constructing a cycle of the first family consist of an integer  $j$  satisfying inequality  $1 \leq j \leq m - 1$  and a narrow cycle of the hypersurface in  $(T^{n-1}(j))_*$  constructed at the previous steps. In the copy  $(T_j^{n-1})_*$  of  $(T^{n-1}(j))_*$ , take the copy  $c$  of this cycle and the copy  $b$  of its axis.

There exists exactly one symmetric copy of  $T_{j+1}^0$  incident to  $b$ . It is  $T_{j+1}^0$  itself, if  $m - j$  is odd, and either  $T_{j+1}^0$ , or  $s_{(n-1)}(T_{j+1}^0)$ , if  $m - j$  is even. If the sign of the symmetric copy  $s(T_{j+1}^0)$  of  $T_{j+1}^0$  incident to  $b$  is opposite to the sign of  $c$ , we include  $c$  in the collection of narrow cycles of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ . Otherwise take  $s_{(n)}(c)$  as a narrow cycle of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ . The axis of  $c$  (resp.,  $s_{(n)}(c)$ ) is the suspension of  $b$  (resp.,  $s_{(n)}(b)$ ) with the vertex  $s(T_{j+1}^0)$  (resp.,  $s_{(n)}(s(T_{j+1}^0))$ ) and with the vertex  $s(T_{j-1}^0)$  (resp.,  $s_{(n)}(s(T_{j-1}^0))$ ).

**Co-Horizontal Cycles.** The initial data for constructing a cycle of the second family are the same as in the case of the horizontal cycles: the data consist of an integer  $j$  satisfying inequality  $1 \leq j \leq m - 1$  and a narrow cycle of the hypersurface in  $(T^{n-1}(j))_*$ .

In the copy  $(T_j^{n-1})_*$  of  $(T^{n-1}(j))_*$ , take the copy  $c$  of this cycle and the copy  $b$  of its axis. If the sign of the symmetric copy  $s(T_{j+1}^0)$  of  $T_{j+1}^0$  incident to  $b$  coincides with the sign of  $c$ , take  $b$  as axis of a narrow cycle of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ . Otherwise take  $s_{(n)}(b)$ . The corresponding narrow cycle is a suspension of  $c$  (resp.,  $s_{(n)}(c)$ ).

**Join Cycles.** The initial data consist of integers  $j$  and  $l$  satisfying inequalities  $1 \leq j \leq m - 1$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq n - 2$ , the copy  $c_1 \subset (T_{j+1}^l)_*$  of a narrow cycle of the hypersurface in  $(T^l(j+1))_*$ , the copy  $c_2 \subset (T_j^{n-1-l})_*$  of a narrow cycle of the hypersurface in  $(T^{n-1-l}(j))_*$  and the copies  $b_1 \subset (T_{j+1}^l)_*$  and  $b_2 \subset (T_j^{n-1-l})_*$  of the axes of these narrow cycles.

One of the joins  $b_1 * b_2$  and  $s_{j+1}^l(b_1) * s_j^{n-1-l}(b_2)$ , belongs to  $\tau_*^n(m)$ ; denote this join by  $J$ . If the signs of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  coincide, take  $J$  as the axis of a cycle of  $\tilde{\Gamma}^n(m)$ . Otherwise take  $s_{(n)}(J)$ . The corresponding narrow cycle is either  $c_1 * c_2$ , or  $s_{j+1}^l(c_1) * s_j^{n-1-l}(c_2)$ , or  $s_{(n)}(c_1 * c_2)$ , or  $s_{(n)}(s_{j+1}^l(c_1) * s_j^{n-1-l}(c_2))$ .

**Proposition 6.1.** *For any integer  $p = 0, \dots, n - 1$ , the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -homology classes of the narrow cycles  $c_i$ ,  $i \in I^{n,p}(m)$ , are linearly independent in  $H_p(\tilde{\Gamma}^n(m); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Both  $c_i$  and  $b_i$  with  $i \in I^{n,p}(m)$  are  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -cycles homologous to zero in  $\tilde{T}$ , which is homeomorphic to the projective space of dimension  $n$ . The sum of dimensions of  $c_i$  and  $b_i$  is  $n - 1$ . Thus we can consider the linking number of  $c_i$ ,  $i \in I^{n,p}(m)$ , and  $b_k$ ,  $k \in I^{n,p}(m)$ , taking values in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Each  $c_i$  bounds an obvious ball in  $\tilde{T}$ . This ball meets  $b_i$  in a single point transversally and is disjoint with  $b_k$  for  $k \neq i$  and  $i, k \in I^{n,p}(m)$ . Hence the linking

number of  $c_i$  and  $b_k$  is  $\delta_{ik}$ . This proves that the cycles  $c_i$ ,  $i \in I^{n,p}(m)$ , realize linearly independent  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -homology classes of  $\tilde{\Gamma}^n(m)$ .  $\square$

## 7. Recurrent Relation for Hodge Numbers

For positive integers  $n$  and  $m$ , and an integer  $p = 0, \dots, n-1$ , denote by  $A_m^{n,p}$  the number of ordered  $(n+1)$ -partitions of  $m(p+1)$  such that each of the summands does not exceed  $m-1$ . In other words, this is the number of interior integer points in the section of the cube  $[0, m]^{n+1}$  by the hyperplane  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i = m(p+1)$ .

We have  $A_m^{n,p} = h^{p, n-1-p}(\mathbb{C}X) - 1$ , if  $n-1 = 2p$ , and  $A_m^{n,p} = h^{p, n-1-p}(\mathbb{C}X)$  otherwise, where  $X$  is a nonsingular surface of degree  $m$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  (see [DKh86]). Furthermore,

$$A_m^{n,p} = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i \binom{n+1}{i} \binom{m(p+1) - (m-1)i - 1}{n}.$$

If either  $n < 0$  or  $p < 0$ , put  $A_m^{n,p} = 0$ . If  $n = 0$  and  $p \neq 0$ , put  $A_m^{n,p} = 0$ . Finally, if  $n = 0$  and  $p = 0$ , put  $A_m^{n,p} = 1$ .

**Proposition 7.1.** *Let  $n$  and  $m$  be positive integers, and  $p$  a nonnegative integer not greater than  $n-1$ . The following recurrent relation holds true:*

$$\begin{aligned} A_m^{n,p} &= \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} A_j^{n-1,p} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} A_j^{n-1,p-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} A_{j+1}^{n-2,p-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} A_j^{n-2,p-1} + \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} A_{j+1}^{l,k} A_j^{n-1-l,p-1-k} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-3} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} A_{j+1}^{l,k} A_j^{n-2-l,p-1-k} + \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-3} \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} A_{j+1}^{l,k} A_j^{n-2-l,p-2-k} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-4} \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} A_{j+1}^{l,k} A_j^{n-3-l,p-2-k}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We prove the statement using induction on  $m$ . If  $m = 1$  the statement is evident. For the inductive step, we need to show that

$$\begin{aligned} A_m^{n,p} &= A_{m-1}^{n,p} + A_{m-1}^{n-1,p} + A_{m-1}^{n-1,p-1} + A_m^{n-2,p-1} + A_{m-1}^{n-2,p-1} + \\ &\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} A_m^{l,k} A_{m-1}^{n-1-l,p-1-k} + \sum_{l=1}^{n-3} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} A_m^{l,k} A_{m-1}^{n-2-l,p-1-k} + \\ &\sum_{l=1}^{n-3} \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} A_m^{l,k} A_{m-1}^{n-2-l,p-2-k} + \sum_{l=1}^{n-4} \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} A_m^{l,k} A_{m-1}^{n-3-l,p-2-k}. \end{aligned}$$

We call an ordered  $(n+1)$ -partition of  $m(p+1)$  *appropriate*, if each of its summands does not exceed  $m-1$ . A partition  $a_1 + \dots + a_s$  of  $mr$  such that all the summands do not

exceed  $m - 1$  is called *reducible*, if there exist integers  $k$  and  $l$  such that  $l < s - 1$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} a_i = m(k + 1).$$

For any reducible partition, denote by  $L$  the largest  $l < s - 1$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} a_i$  is divisible by  $m$ . A partition of  $mr$  such that all the summands do not exceed  $m - 1$  and which is not reducible is called *irreducible*.

Denote the summands of an appropriate partition by  $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}$ . Let us prove that

- (1)  $A_{m-1}^{n,p}$  is the number of appropriate irreducible partitions with  $a_1 < m - 1$  and  $a_{n+1} > 1$ ,
- (2)  $A_{m-1}^{n-1,p}$  is the number of appropriate irreducible partitions with  $a_1 < m - 1$  and  $a_{n+1} = 1$ ,
- (3)  $A_{m-1}^{n-1,p-1}$  is the number of appropriate irreducible partitions with  $a_1 = m - 1$  and  $a_{n+1} > 1$ ,
- (4)  $A_{m-1}^{n-2,p-1}$  is the number of appropriate irreducible partitions with  $a_1 = m - 1$  and  $a_{n+1} = 1$ ,
- (5)  $\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} A_m^{l,k} A_{m-1}^{n-1-l,p-1-k}$  is the number of appropriate reducible partitions with  $a_{L+2} < m - 1$  and  $a_{n+1} > 1$ ,
- (6)  $\sum_{l=1}^{n-3} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} A_m^{l,k} A_{m-1}^{n-2-l,p-1-k}$  is the number of appropriate reducible partitions with  $a_{L+2} < m - 1$  and  $a_{n+1} = 1$ ,
- (7)  $\sum_{l=1}^{n-3} \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} A_m^{l,k} A_{m-1}^{n-2-l,p-2-k}$  is the number of appropriate reducible partitions with  $a_{L+2} = m - 1$  and  $a_{n+1} > 1$ ,
- (8)  $\sum_{l=1}^{n-4} \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} A_m^{l,k} A_{m-1}^{n-3-l,p-2-k} + A_m^{n-2,p-1}$  is the number of appropriate reducible partitions with  $a_{L+2} = m - 1$  and  $a_{n+1} = 1$ .

Let  $\Pi$  be an ordered  $s$ -partition  $a_1 + \dots + a_s$  of  $(m-1)r$ , where  $a_i \leq m-2$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . This partition defines in the following way an ordered  $s$ -partition  $f(\Pi) : a'_1 + \dots + a'_s$  of  $mr$  with  $a'_i \leq m - 1$  and an ordered  $(s + 1)$ -partition  $g(\Pi) : a''_1 + \dots + a''_{s+1}$  of  $mr$  with  $a''_i \leq m - 1$ . Let  $i_1, \dots, i_{r-1}$  be the integers such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{i_q} a_j \leq (m-1)q, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{i_q+1} a_j > (m-1)q,$$

for any  $q = 1, \dots, r - 1$ . Take  $a'_i = a_i + 1$  if  $i = i_q + 1$  (for some  $q = 1, \dots, r - 1$ ) or  $i = s$ , and  $a'_i = a_i$  otherwise. Take  $a''_i = a_i + 1$ , if  $i = i_q + 1$  (for some  $q = 1, \dots, r - 1$ ), and  $a''_i = a_i$  otherwise. Take, in addition,  $a''_{s+1} = 1$ . Note that the partitions  $f(\Pi)$  and  $g(\Pi)$  are both irreducible,  $a'_1 < m - 1$ ,  $a'_s > 1$ , and  $a''_1 < m - 1$ . For any irreducible ordered  $s$ -partition  $\Phi : a'_1 + \dots + a'_s$  of  $mr$  such that  $a'_1 < m - 1$ ,  $a'_s > 1$ , and  $a'_i \leq m - 1$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, s$ , there exists a unique partition  $\Pi$  such that  $f(\Pi) = \Phi$ . Indeed, let  $i_1, \dots, i_{r-1}$  be

the integers such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{i_q} a'_j \leq mq - 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{i_q+1} a'_j > mq - 1;$$

for any  $q = 1, \dots, r-1$ ; take  $a_i = a'_i - 1$ , if  $i = i_q + 1$  (for some  $q = 1, \dots, r-1$ ) or  $i = s$ , and  $a_i = a'_i$  otherwise. (Note that  $a'_{i_q+1} > 1$ , because  $\Phi$  is irreducible.) Similarly, for any irreducible ordered  $(s+1)$ -partition  $\Psi : a''_1 + \dots + a''_{s+1}$  of  $mr$  such that  $a''_1 < m-1$ ,  $a''_{s+1} = 1$ , and  $a''_i \leq m-1$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, s$ , there exists a unique partition  $\Pi$  such that  $g(\Pi) = \Psi$ .

The constructions of  $f(\Pi)$  and  $g(\Pi)$  described above give immediately (1) and (2). To prove (3) (respectively, (4)), one can apply the construction of  $f(\Pi)$  (respectively,  $g(\Pi)$ ) to ordered  $(n+1)$ -partitions  $a_1 + \dots + a_{n+1}$  (respectively, to ordered  $n$ -partitions  $a_1 + \dots + a_n$ ) of  $(m-1)(p+1)$  such that  $a_1 = m-1$  and  $a_i \leq m-2$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n+1$  (resp.,  $i = 2, \dots, n$ ).

The statements (5) - (8) follow from (1) - (4): to any appropriate reducible partition  $a_1 + \dots + a_{n+1}$ , one can associate the irreducible partition  $a_{L+2} + \dots + a_{n+1}$ .  $\square$

## 8. Proofs of Theorems 5.1 and 1.4

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* For positive integers  $n$  and  $m$ , and an integer  $p = 0, \dots, n-1$ , denote by  $N_m^{n,p}$  the number of narrow  $p$ -cycles  $c_i$ ,  $i \in I^{n,p}(m)$  constructed in Section 6. If either  $n \leq 0$  or  $p < 0$ , put  $N_m^{n,p} = 0$ . If  $n = 0$  and  $p \neq 0$ , put  $N_m^{n,p} = 0$ . Finally, if  $n = 0$  and  $p = 0$ , put  $N_m^{n,p} = 1$ .

According to the construction of narrow cycles, the numbers  $N_m^{n,p}$  satisfy the following recurrent relation:

$$N_m^{n,p} = N_{m-1}^{n,p} + N_{m-1}^{n-1,p} + N_{m-1}^{n-1,p-1} + \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} N_m^{l,k} N_{m-1}^{n-1-l,p-1-k}.$$

In addition,  $N_1^{1,0} = N_2^{1,0} = 0$  and  $N_m^{1,0} = m-2$  for any integer  $m \geq 3$ .

Fix a positive integer  $n$  and an integer  $p = 0, \dots, n-1$ . Notice that  $A_m^{n,p} \leq (m-1)^n$  for any positive integer  $m$ . Thus, Proposition 7.1 implies that, for  $n \geq 2$ , one has

$$A_m^{n,p} = A_{m-1}^{n,p} + A_{m-1}^{n-1,p} + A_{m-1}^{n-1,p-1} + \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} A_m^{l,k} A_{m-1}^{n-1-l,p-1-k} + O(m^{n-2}).$$

In addition,  $A_m^{1,0} = m-1$  for any positive integer  $m$ . Comparing the two recurrent relations, we obtain  $N_m^{n,p} = A_m^{n,p} + O(m^{n-1})$ . This proves Theorem 5.1, since, according to Proposition 6.1, the cycles  $c_i$ ,  $i \in I^{n,p}(m)$ , realize linearly independent  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -homology classes in  $H_p(\tilde{\Gamma}^n(m); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* The statement immediately follows from Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 5.2.  $\square$

## References

- [Ber06] B. Bertrand, *Euler characteristic of primitive  $T$ -hypersurfaces and maximal surfaces*, Preprint arxiv: math.AG/0602534, 2006.
- [Bih03] F. Bihan, *Asymptotiques de nombres de Betti d'hypersurfaces projectives réelles*, Preprint arxiv: math.AG/0312259, 2003.
- [Bre72] G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Academic Press, N. Y. - London, 1972.
- [Dai00] D. Dais, *Über unimodulare, kohärente Triangulierungen von Gitterpolytopen. Beispiele und Anwendungen*. Lecture notes of the summer school "Géométrie des variétés toriques", Grenoble, 2000.
- [DKh86] V. I. Danilov, A. G. Khovanskii, *Newton polyhedra and an algorithm for computing Hodge-Deligne numbers*, Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR **50** (1986), (Russian), English transl., Math. USSR Izvestiya **29:2** (1987), 279–298.
- [Far57] I. Fary, *Cohomologie des variétés algébriques*, Ann. Math. **65** (1957), 21–73.
- [Flo52] E. E. Floyd, *On periodic maps and the Euler characteristics of associated spaces*, Trans. AMS **72** (1952), 138–147.
- [GKZ94] I. Gelfand, M. Kapranov and A. Zelevinski, *Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants*. Birkhäuser. Boston, 1994.
- [Har76] A. Harnack, *Über Vieltheiligkeit der ebenen algebraischen Curven*, Math. Ann. **10** (1876), 189–199.
- [ISh03] I. Itenberg and E. Shustin, *Critical points of real polynomials and topology of real algebraic  $T$ -surfaces*, Geom. Dedicata. **101** (2003), no. 1, 61 - 91.
- [IV96] I. Itenberg and O. Viro, *Patchworking algebraic curves disproves the Ragsdale conjecture*, Math. Intelligencer **18:4** (1996), 19–28.
- [IV] I. Itenberg and O. Viro, *Maximal real algebraic hypersurfaces of projective space*, (in preparation).
- [Kha72] V. M. Kharlamov, *The maximal number of components of a 4th degree surface in  $\mathbb{R}P^3$* , Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **6** (1972), 101 (Russian).
- [Kha73] V. M. Kharlamov, *New congruences for the Euler characteristic of real algebraic varieties*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **7** (1973), 74–78 (Russian).
- [Kha75] V. M. Kharlamov, *Additional congruences for the Euler characteristic of real algebraic variety of even degree*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **9** (1975), 51–60 (Russian).
- [Mi05] G. Mikhalkin, *Enumerative tropical algebraic geometry in  $\mathbb{R}^2$* . J. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (2005), 313–377.
- [Ris92] J.-J. Risler, *Construction d'hypersurfaces réelles [d'après Viro]*, Séminaire N. Bourbaki, no. 763, vol. 1992–93, November 1992.
- [Rok72] V. A. Rokhlin, *Congruences modulo 16 in Hilbert's sixteenth problem*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **6** (1972), 58–64 (Russian), English transl., Functional Anal. Appl.
- [Smi38] P. A. Smith, *Transformations of finite period*, Ann. of Math. **39** (1938), 127–164.
- [Sh96] E. Shustin, *Critical points of real polynomials, subdivisions of Newton polyhedra and topology of real algebraic hypersurfaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **173** (1996), 203–223.
- [Stu94] B. Sturmfels, *Viro's theorem for complete intersections*. Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (4), **21:3** (1994), 377–386.
- [Tho65] R. Thom, *Sur l'homologie des variétés algébriques réelles*, Diff. and comb. top., A Symp. in honor of M. Morse, Princeton Univ. Press, 1965, pp. 255–265.
- [Vir79a] O. Viro, *Constructing  $M$ -surfaces*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **13** (1979), 71–72 (Russian), English transl., Functional Anal. Appl.
- [Vir79b] O. Viro, *Construction of multicomponent real algebraic surfaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **248** (1979), no. 2 (Russian), English transl., Soviet Math. Dokl. **20** (1979), no. 5, 991–995.

Asymptotically maximal real algebraic hypersurfaces

- [Vir83] O. Viro, *Gluing of algebraic hypersurfaces, smoothing of singularities and construction of curves*, Proc. Leningrad Int. Topological Conf. (Leningrad, Aug. 1983), Nauka, Leningrad, 1983, pp. 149–197 (in Russian).
- [Vir84] O. Viro, *Gluing of plane real algebraic curves and construction of curves of degrees 6 and 7*, Lect. Notes Math. **1060** Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1984, pp. 187–200.
- [Vir94] O. Viro, *Patchworking real algebraic varieties*, Preprint. Uppsala University. 1994.
- [Zie94] G. Ziegler, *Lectures on Polytopes*. Springer-Verlag. New York, 1994.

UNIVERSITÉ LOUIS PASTEUR ET IRMA, 7, RUE RENÉ DESCARTES, 67084 STRASBOURG CEDEX, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* `itenberg@math.u-strasbg.fr`

PETERSBURG DEPARTMENT OF STEKLOV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, 27, FONTANKA, 191023, ST.PETERSBURG, RUSSIA  
*E-mail address:* `oleg.viro@gmail.com`