Proceedings of 16^{th} Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference pp. 137 – 141

Twisting 4-manifolds along \mathbb{RP}^2

Selman Akbulut

ABSTRACT. We prove that the Dolgachev surface $E(1)_{2,3}$ (which is an exotic copy of the elliptic surface $E(1) = \mathbb{CP}^2 \# 9 \mathbb{CP}^2$) can be obtained from E(1) by twisting along a simple "plug", in particular it can be obtained from E(1) by twisting along \mathbb{RP}^2 .

1. Introduction

Given a smooth 4-manifold M^4 , what is the minimal genus g of an imbedded surface $\Sigma_g \subset M^4$, such that twisting M along Σ produces an exotic copy of M? Here twisting means cutting out a tubular neighborhood of Σ and regluing back by a nontrivial diffeomorphism. When g > 1 we don't get anything new (because by ([O], page 133)¹ any diffeomorphism of a circle bundle over Σ_g can be isotoped to preserve the fiber, and hence it extends to the corresponding disk bundle). The case g = 1 is the well known "logarithmic transform" operation, which can change the smooth structure in some cases; in fact the first example of a closed exotic manifold found by Donaldson [D] was the Dolgachev surface $E(1)_{2,3}$ which is obtained from $E(1) = \mathbb{CP}^2 \# 9 \mathbb{CP}^2$ by two log transforms. The g = 0 case is not well understood, twisting along S^2 is usually called "Gluck construction" and we don't know if this operation changes the smooth structure of any orientable manifold, but there is an example of non-orientable manifold which the Gluck construction changes its smooth structure [A1]. The interesting case of $\Sigma = \mathbb{RP}^2$ was studied indirectly in [AY1] under the guise of plugs, which are more general objects. Recall that Figure 1 describes the tubular neighborhood W of \mathbb{RP}^2 in S^4 as a disc bundle over \mathbb{RP}^2 (e.g. [A2]):



FIGURE 1. W

The author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS 0905917. 1 We thank Cameron Gordon for pointing out this reference.

AKBULUT

If we attach a 2-handle to W as in Figure 2 we obtain an interesting manifold, which is the $W_{1,2}$ "plug" of [AY1]. Recall [AY1], a plug (P, f) of M^4 is a codimension zero Stein submanifold $P \subset M$ with an involution $f: \partial P \to \partial P$, such that f does not extend to a homemorphism inside; and the operation $N \cup_{id} P \mapsto N \cup_f P$ of removing P from M and regluing it to its complement N by f, changes the smooth structure of M (this operation is called a "plug twisting"). For example the involution $f: \partial W_{1,2} \to \partial W_{1,2}$ is induced from 180⁰ rotation of the Figure 2, e.g. it maps the (red and blue) loops to each other $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$.



FIGURE 2. $W_{1,2}$

Notice that the twisting along $W_{1,2}$ is induced by twisting along \mathbb{RP}^2 inside (i.e. cutting out W and regluing by the involution induced by the rotation). In [AY1] some examples of changing smooth structures via plug twisting were given, including twisting the $W_{1,2}$ plug. Here we prove that by twisting along a $W_{1,2}$ plug (in particular twisting along \mathbb{RP}^2) we can completely decompose the Dolgachev surface $E(1)_{2,3}$. The following theorem should be considered as a structure theorem for the Dolgachev surface complementing Theorem 1 of [A3], where it was shown that a "cork twisting" also completely decomposes $E(1)_{2,3}$.

Theorem 1.1. $E(1)_{2,3}$ is obtained by plug twisting E(1) along $W_{1,2}$, i.e. we have a decomposition $E(1) = N \cup_{id} W_{1,2}$, so that $E(1)_{2,3} = N \cup_f W_{1,2}$.

Proof. By cancelling the 1- and 2-handle pair of Figure 2 we obtain Figure 3, which is an alternative picture of $W_{1,2}$. By inspecting the diffeomorphism Figure 2 \mapsto Figure 3 we see that the involution f twists the tubular neighborhood of α once, while mapping to β .

By attaching a chain of eight 2-handles to $-W_{1,2}$ (the mirror image of Figure 3) and a +1 framed 2-handle to α , we obtain Figure 4, which is a handlebody of E(1) given in [A3]. In Figure 4 performing $W_{1,2}$ plug twist to E(1) has the effect of replacing the +1-framed 2-handle attached to α , with a zero framed 2-handle attached to β . Here the complement of $W_{1,2}$ in E(1) is the submanifold N consisting of the zero framed 2-handle (the cusp)

Twisting 4-manifolds along \mathbb{RP}^2



FIGURE 3. $W_{1,2}$

and the chain of eight 2-handles, and the plug twisting is the operation: $N \cup \alpha^{+1} \mapsto N \cup \beta^0$ (as seen from N).



FIGURE 4. E(1)

Therefore the plug twisting of E(1) along $W_{1,2}$ gives Figure 5. After sliding over β , the chain of eight 2-handles become free from the rest of the figure, giving a splitting: $Q \# \otimes \mathbb{CP}^2$, where Q is the cusp with the trivially linking zero framed cicle, hence we get $Q = S^2 \times S^2$. So the Figure 5 is just $S^2 \times S^2 \# \otimes \mathbb{CP}^2 = E(1)$.

Next notice that if we first perform a "knot surgery" operation $E(1) \mapsto E(1)_K$ by a knot K, along the cusp inside of Figure 4, and then do the plug twist along $W_{1,2}$ (notice

139

AKBULUT





the cusp is disjoint from the plug since it lies in N) we get the similar splitting except this time resulting: $Q_K \# 8\bar{\mathbf{CP}}^2$, where Q_K is the knot surgered Q. Notice the manifold $Q = S^2 \times S^2$ is obtained by doubling the cusp, and Q_K is obtained by doing knot surgery to one of these cusps. In Theorem 4.1 of [A4] it was shown that when K is the trefoil knot then $Q_K = S^2 \times S^2$. Also recall that when K is the trefoil knot we have the identification with the Dolgachev surface $E(1)_K = E(1)_{2,3}$ (e.g. [A3]).

Remark 1.1. If we could identify Q_K with $S^2 \times S^2$ for infinitely many knots K with distinct Alexander polynomials, we would have infinitely many transforms $E(1) \mapsto E(1)_K$ obtained by plug twistings along $W_{1,2}$. This would give infinitely many non-isotopic imbeddings $W_{1,2} \subset E(1)$, similar to the examples in [AY2]. In the absence of such identification we can only conclude that $W_{1,2}$ is a plug of infinitely many distinct exotic copies $E(1)_K$ of E(1).

Remark 1.2. Recall that ∂W is the quaternionic 3-manifold, which is the quotient of S^3 by the free action of the quaternionic group of order eight (e.g. [A2]):

 $G = \langle i, j, k \mid i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1, ij = k, jk = i, ki = j \rangle$

This manifold is a positively curved space-form and an L-space (Heegaard Floer homology groups are trivial). Hence the change of smooth structure of E(1) by twisting W is due to the change of $Spin^c$ structures, rather than permuting the Floer homology by the involution as in [A3], [AD].

Twisting 4-manifolds along \mathbb{RP}^2

References

- [A1] S. Akbulut, Constructing a fake 4-manifold by Gluck construction to a standard 4-manifold, Topology, vol. 27, no. 2 (1988), 239–243.
- [A2] S. Akbulut, Cappell-Shaneson's 4-dimensional s-cobordism, Geometry-Topology, vol.6, (2002), 425–494
- [A3] S. Akbulut, The Dolgachev surface, arXiv:0805.1524v4 (2008).
- [A4] S. Akbulut, A fake cusp and a fishtail, Turkish Jour. of Math 1 (1999), 19-31.
- [A5] S. Akbulut, Variations on Fintushel-Stern knot surgery, Turkish Jour. of Math (2001), 81–92. arXiv:math.GT/0201156.
- [AD] S. Akbulut, and S. Durusoy. An involution acting non-trivially on Heegaard-Floer homology, Fields Institute Communications, vol 47, (2005),1–9
- [AY1] S. Akbulut and K.Yasui, Corks, Plugs and exotic structures, Jour of GGT 2 (2008), 40–82. arXiv:0806.3010
- [AY2] S. Akbulut and K.Yasui, Knotting Corks, Journal of Topology (2009) 2(4), 823-839
- S.K. Donaldson, Irrationality and the h-cobordism conjecture, Journal of Differential Geometry 26 (1), (1987) 141–168.
- [FS] R. Fintushel and R. Stern Six lectures on 4-manifolds, (2007), arXiv:math.GT/0610700v2.
- [GS] R. Gompf and A. Stipsicz 4-manifolds and Kirby calculus, (1999), AMS, GSM vol 20.
- [O] P. Orlik Seifert Manifolds, LNM no.291 Springer-Verlag (1972)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, MI, 48824 *E-mail address:* akbulut@math.msu.edu